DATE 1/3/44
MADE BY V.O.

BUBJECT SOUTH END URBAN RENEVIAL AREA R-56

RECOMMENDED FOUNDATIONS

GENERAL NOTES

TOTAL PUBLIC HEALTH

- 1. RECOMMENDED FOUNDATIONS ARE IN ACCORDANCE WITH

  SOIL PROFILES AT CENTERLINES OF & STREETS THROUGH THE

  SOUTH END URBAN RENEWAL AREA AND ARE INDICATED FOR

  G AREAS, AS FOLLOWS:
  - 1.) COLUMBUS AVE AREA FROM WALPULE ST. TO CLARENDON ST.
  - 24 TREMONT ST AREA FROM WALPOLE ST. TO HERALD ST.
  - 3) SHAWMUT AVE AREA FROM BALL ST. TO HERALD ST.
  - 4) WASHINGTON ST. AREA FROM BALL ST. TO HERALD ST.
  - 5) HARRISON AVE AREA FROM THORNDIKE ST. TO DOVER ST.
  - IN ALL GAREAS THE RECOMMENDED FOUNDATIONS ARE
  - O) BUILDINGS I STORY TO 3 STORIES HIGH, AND
    - b) BUILDINGS FROM I STORY TO 9 STORIES HIGH.
- 3. IN ACCORDANCE WITH SOIL CONDITION IN VARIOUS AREAS
  TWO MAIN TYPES OF FOUNDATIONS ARE RECOMMENDED:
  - 9) SPREAD WALL FUOTINGS FOR 1-3 STORY BUILDINGS WITH

    MAX. ALLOWABLE SOIL PRESSURE I TO 2 TH/SO FT

    IN SOME AREAS WHERE SOIL PROFILE SHOWS DEEP FILL

    OR SOFT ORGANIC MATERIALS PILE FOUNDATIONS ARE

    RECOMMENDED FOR BUILDINGS AS BEING A MORE

    ECONOMICAL AND SAFE SOLUTION
  - b) FUR BUILDINGSHIGHER THAN 3 STURIES IN ALL AREAS UNLY PILE FOUNDATIONS ARE RECOMMENDED, ESPECIALLY IN THE ALBANY ST. AREA WHERE DEEP MUD AREAS EXIST.
- 4. SEE SHEETS NO.2 TO NO.7 FOR DETAILS

AN 03-464

2.

GOVDOC

BRA

4482



SHEET NO. 2

DATE 1/3/64

MADE BY V.O.

CHECKED BY RFB

SUBJECT SOUTH END URBAN RENEWAL AREA R-56

_	DOTTI END ORIGHN RETYES	The process	71-28			
RECOMMENDED FOUNDATIONS						
AREA	AREA /	TYPEUF	TYPE OF	REMARKS		
No.	LUCATION	BUILDINGS	FOUNDATION			
1.	COLUMBUS AVE			NOTES:		
	2011	1 STORY TO	SPREAD WALL	1. SEE LOCATION PLAN		
	1) WALPOLE ST. TO BENTON ST.	3 STORIES	FOOTINGS ON	FUR AREA ON .		
	DWG. 5P-1		CUMPACTED	EACH DWG.		
			GRAVEL FILL	2. DEPTH OF FOOTING		
			MAX LOAD. 2TH	PILES DEPENDS ON		
		3 STORIES - UP	PILES	THE HEIGHT OF THE		
	2.) BENTONSTTO			STRUCTURE AND OF		
	NORTHAMPTON ST.	1 STURY-UP	PILES	SOIL CONDITION AT		
	DWG SP-1	-		DESIGNATED LOCATION		
	3) HURTHAMPTON ST. TO					
	WEST SPRINGFIELD ST.			3. ALL ORGANIC		
	DW6.5P-2	1 STURY- UP	PILES	MATERIALS SHALL		
				BE REMOVED FROM		
	4) WEST SPRINGFIELD ST.	The second second		THE SPREAD WALL		
	TO DAVENPORT ST	15024-UP	PILES	FOOTING AREA		
	DWG. 5P-3	-		AND REPLACED		
	-			. WITH COMPACTED		
	5) DAVENPORT ST.			GRAVEL		
	TO CLARENDON ST					
	DWG. 5P-4	1 STORY - UP	PILES			
				*		
		•				
	•					
		- A				
				in the second		

SHEET NO. 3

DATE 1/3/64

MADE BY V.O.

CHECKED BY REP

SUBJECT SOUTH END URBAN RENEWAL AREA R-50

	RECOMMENDED FOUNDATIONS						
AREA	AREA/	TYPE OF	TYPEOF	REMARKS			
No.	LOCATION	BUILDING	FOUNDATION				
2	TREMONT ST.			SEENCTES 1,283 ON SHEET NO.2			
	1) WALPOLE ST. TO CANDEN ST.	1 STURY_UP	PILES				
	DWG. SP-5 2) CAMDEN ST. TO		SPREAD WALL				
	RUTLAND ST.	1 STORY-UP	COMPACTED	,			
	<u>Dwg.5.9-6</u>	•	MAX. LOSD.  175.51N/SQ.FT.  OR PILES	~·•			
		3 STORIES-UP	PILES	,			
	3) RUTLAND ST TO UNION PARK ST. DWG-SP-7	1-3570RIES	SPREAD WALL FUOTINGS ON COMPACTED				
			GRAVEL FILL MAY LEAD 2TH OR PILES 53.FT				
		3 STORIES .CIP	PILES				
	4) UNION PARK ST TO						
	HERALD ST. DWG. SPE	1 TO 3 STORIES	PILES OR SPREAD				
			WALL FUOTINGS ON COMPACIED				
			GRAVEL FILL MAX LOAD				
			1.5702 TY/59.FT	*1			
		3 STORIES-UP	PILES				

SHEET NO. DATE 1/3/64 MADE BY V.O. CHECKED BY RFB

R-56

SUBJECT	SOUTH END	URBAN REHEWAL AREA

	RECOMM	SENDED FOR	INDATIONS	
AREA	AREA /	TYPE OF	TYPE OF	REMARKS
No.	LOCATION	BUILDING	FOUNDATION	
3	SHAWMUT AVE  1.) BALL ST. TO LEHOX ST.  DWG 50.00	1STORY-UP	PILES	SEE NOTES 1,28,3
	2) LENOX ST. TO MASSACHUSETTS AVE	1-3 STORIES-	SPREAD WALL	
	DWG.SP-9	3 STORIES-LIP	COMPACTED GRAVEL FILL MAY. LOAD 2 TH SO	£7.
	3) MASSACHUSETTS AVE TO WURCESTER ST. DWG. 5R10		SPREAD WALL EOCTHISS ON CONFACTED GRAVE	
		3 STURIES - UD	PILES	FF
	A.) WORCESTER ST. TO RUTLAND ST. DWG. SP.10	1STURY - UP	PILES	
	S) RUTLAND ST. TO W-CANTON ST. DWG. SP-10	1-35 TURIES	SPREAD WALL FUOTINGS ON COMPACTED GRAVEL FILL MAX. LOAD - 2TN/ - 1501	
	G.) WEST CANTUH ST. TO	3 STURIES-LIP	PILES	
	DWIGHT ST. DWG SP-11	1-3 STORIES	SPREAD WALL FOOTINGS ON COMPACTED GRAVES FILL MAY LOAD 1.572 TH	
	7) DWIGHT ST. TO	3 STORIES-LIP	PILES SAF	
	HERALO ST.  DWG. SP. 12	1-3 STURIES	DILES OR SPREAD WALL FOOTINGS ON COMP. GRIFFE FILL MAY-LOAD 1.5702.074	
		3 (20156-110)	Duse S.FT.	,*

Pi.

MADE BY V.O.

CHECKED BY RFB

SUBJECT SOUTH END URBAN RENEWAL, AREA R-56

	RECOMME	VDED FOUN	DATIONS	
AREA NO.	AREA LOCATION	TYPE OF BUILDING	TYPE OF FOUNDATION	REMARKS
4	WASHINGTON ST. 1) BALL ST. TO WORCESTER ST DWG. SP-13	1-3 5702125	SPREAD WALL FOOTINGS ON COMPACTED GRAVEL FILL MAY LUAD 2 TH	SEE NOTES 1,2&3
	2) WORCESTER ST. TO	3 STURIES-UP	PILES	
	ERST_SPRINGFIELD ST DWG SP.13	1-3 STORIES	EVOTINGS OF	
	<u> 2773 27-15</u>		MAY LOSO-2TH	
	3) E-SPRINGFIELD ST. TO	3 STORIES-UP	PILES SPREADWALL	
	EBROOKLINE ST. DWG 59-14	1-3 STORIES	FOOTINGS ON COMPACTED GRAVEL FILL	
			1.5-2 PN/ 1.5-2 PN/ 154 FP	
	1) E.BROOKLINE ST. TO	3 STORIES. UP	PILES	*
	LINIOH-PARK ST. DWG. 52.15	1-3 STORIË:	SPREAD WALL FOOTINGS ON COMPACTED - GRAVEL FILL MAY LOND 2TH	
	5JUNION PARK ST. TO	3 STORIES-LIP	PILES SOFT	
	RUFLAND ST. DWG. SP-15	1 STORY - LIP	PILES	
	S) RUTLAND ST. TO DOVER ST DWG. 5P.16	1-3 STURIES	SAREAD WALL FOUTUNES ON COMPACTED GRAVEL FILL MAY LOND-1.57	e
	3 -	35TORIES-UP	PILES SOF	7.
	7) DOVER ST. TO CONINTON ST.  DWG. 5P-16	1 STURY - UP	PILES	
_	8) COMPTON ST. TO HERALD ST. DWG.5P.16	1-3 STORIES	SPREAD WALL FOOTINES ON CUMPACTED GRAVEL FILL	
		2	MAY. L= 2tn/g F	
		3 STURIES-UP	PILES	

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2011 with funding from Boston Public Library

j		THE THOMPSON &			DATE 1/6/64 MADE BY VO:
/Su	BJECT	BOUTH END URBANRS	NEWAL ARE.	A R-56	CHECKED BY PFB
		RECOMME			
	AREA. No.	AREA/LOCATION	TYPE OF	TYPE OF FOUNDATION	REMARKS
	5	HARRISON AVE		,	SEE NOTES 1,263 ON SHEET NO. 2
		1.) THORNDIKE ST. TO EAST CONCORD ST.  DWG. SP-17	15TORY - UP	PILES	
		2) EACT CONCORD ST. TO PLYMOUTH ST.  DWG. 5P-18	1 STURY UP	PILES	
		3.) PLYMOUTH ST. TO ROLLIHS ST.	1. STURY - UP	PILES	
		DW6.5P-19			
		4.) ROLLING 57. TO DOVER ST. DWG. SP-19	1-3 STORIES	PILES OR SPREAD WALL	
				FOOTINGS ON.  COMPACTED  GRAVEL FILL	
				184. LOAD 1.0 TH)	
	·		-	•	



4	1	THE THOMPSON &	LICHTNER	CO., Inc.	DATE 1/6/64
Su	BJECT	SOUTH END URBAN RENE	VIAL AREA	R-56	MADE BY V. Q.  CHECKED BY RFB
		RECOMMEND	,		
	AREA No.	AREA/LOCATION	TYPE OF BUILDING	TYPE OF FOUNDATION	REMARKS
	6	ALBANY ST.			SEE NOTES 1,28.3 ON SHEET NO. 2
		1) NORTHAMPTON ST. TO EAST NEWTON ST.  DWG SP-20	1 5TORY_UP	PILES	
		2.) EAST NEWTON ST. TO MALDEN ST. DWG. SP-21	1 STORY - UP	PILES	
		3.) MALDEN ST. TO			
		DOVER ST.  DWG.SP.22	1 STORY - UP	PILES	
·			•	· :	
				•	
*					
				,	



(Cpy of Thompson & Lichtner Subsail and Familalions Report - Dec. 1963)

#### BOSTON REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY SOUTH END R-56

### PART I - REPORT

### SECTION 9. Conclusions and Recommendations

### A. Conclusions

- l. Despite great variations in details reported herein, there is, for the most part, a uniformity of soils conditions throughout the South End Area. Generally, one-to-forty-foot fills cover a strata of two-to-thirteen-feet of sand and gravel, or one-to-twenty-feet of silt and peat, or eleven-to-forty-five-feet of silt, sand and mud mixture than either one-to-sixty-four-feet of sand, gravel and clay mixture, or varying thicknesses up to 120 feet of soft to stiff clay over sand and gravel.
- 2. Examination of existing piling showed some rotting, indicating probable lowering of the water levels in some areas, but, generally, water levels are as would be expected from the elevation cutoff and location of piles. Actual lengths of piles are not known but it may be assumed that they were driven to the capacity allowed by the Boston Building Code.
- 3. On-site observations and information obtained from the records of the Boston Building Department as to conditions of structures supported by pile foundations indicate, that although the piles, in general, were in good condition, a large proportion of these structures were considered in such poor condition as to be condemned. The fault, however, appears not in the piles but largely in poorly constructed foundation walls.
- 4. Bulging and cracking of building walls were the principal defects listed as reason for condemnation; bulging, cracking and settlement defects in foundation walls were the next principal reason. Building wall defects were apparently due to (1) failure to bond front and rear brick walls to the side brick walls; (2) lack of proper ties between the floor framing and the masonry walls; (3) settlement or lateral movement of foundations; (4) loss of wall integrity due to lack of maintenance against weather. A number of walls collapsed after adjacent structures were removed.
- 5. Two-thirds of the buildings which have been demolished were less than fifty years old, which would be a minimum life if the buildings were properly maintained. Most structures examined showed gross lack of proper maintenance with respect to both interior and exterior structural elements. Quality of original masonry in most cases was adequate.

6. Many of the structures still standing are in good condition with respect to foundations and walls, and have a potential life of several decades if properly maintained.

### B. Recommendations

- 1. In general, foundations for structures up to three stories can be of the spread footing type placed on compacted gravel. The gravel must be of an 8-inch minimum thickness and must be compacted to 95% of Standard AASHO Density. Bearing values for these footings may be 1-to-2-ton per square foot. In no case should footings bear on soil where there is a peat or organic silt strata below the bearing soil.
- 2. In general, structures over three stories in height must be on piles. Piles for structures over eight stories should be of sufficient length to bear on the sand and gravel strata below the clay. In general, steel encased cast-in-place concrete piles of 60 tons or more capacity would be most economical. In a few cases, wooden piles of a 15-ton capacity may be competitive; however, the use of treated wood piles only is recommended.
- 3. Existing piles can be considered for reuse with loadings of 10 tons per pile; however, the foundation walls of structures that have had the superstructures removed are not reusable. Any piles considered for reuse must have the top section uncovered and examined. Foundation walls and piles of existing buildings to be continued in use should be thoroughly examined.
- 4. Information developed in this study gives subsurface soils data in more detail than has been previously available, and is sufficient to provide architects and engineers guidance for preliminary considerations in foundation design. Supplementing borings should be taken and soils investigations made for each proposed structure to be built. The data in this report will be of value in determining the kind, depth and number of such borings, and should minimize the number necessary for proper design analysis.

The following map of the South End Urban Renewal Area, with the original shore lines indicated, shows six areas within the renewal area on which are indicated the types of foundation that generally will be required. The seven pages following the map give in detail recommended foundations for each of the six areas.



